

**Agwumezie, Charles C.**

---

**From:** Weinhardt, Robert on behalf of 101 Help-TC3600  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 03, 2006 11:11 AM  
**To:** Agwumezie, Charles C.  
**Subject:** RE: case 10/667407

You're right, the application is broader and thus would not be 101 statutory double patenting.  
Consider using obvious type double patenting.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Agwumezie, Charles C.  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 02, 2006 1:34 PM  
**To:** 101 Help-TC3600  
**Subject:** case 10/667407

Hi all,

Does this application fall into 101 -statutory double patenting with Patent # 6,654,724. The current application appears broader.

Thanks

**Charlie Lion Agwumezie**  
**Art Unit 3621**  
**Knox-5A69**  
**571.272.6710 (office)**  
**571.273.6838 (fax)**